PICTORIAL REVIEW

Learning the nodal stations in the abdomen

¹F E MORÓN, MD and ²J SZKLARUK, PhD, MD

¹Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, BCM 360 and ²Diagnostic Radiology, The University of Texas, M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard Box 57, Houston, Texas 77030, USA

ABSTRACT. The normal pathways of lymphatic drainage from the abdominal organs have been well described in the classic anatomy literature. Knowledge of the location and nomenclature of the common nodal stations in the abdomen are essential for complete report of radiological findings. CT is ubiquitous in the evaluation of oncology patients. Utilizing colour-coded CT images of the abdomen we will present the nomenclature and location of the nodal stations for common abdominal neoplasms, including those of the stomach, pancreas, liver, colon and the kidney. Understanding the nomenclature and the usual lymphatic pathways of metastasis will help radiologists detect disease spread from abdominal tumours. The goal of this pictorial review is to present the nodal stations, nomenclature and location of regional lymph nodes for the most common abdominal neoplasms. In addition, the reader can use this document as a handbook to learn and review this information.

Received 25 October 2005 Revised 13 January 2006 Accepted 6 February 2006

DOI: 10.1259/bjr/64292252

© 2007 The British Institute of Radiology

Radiology plays an essential role in the diagnosis, staging and surveillance of oncology patients. CT is the most commonly utilized imaging modality in the work up of these patients. During the review of the CT evaluation of the abdomen, radiologists often encounter lymph nodes (LNs; Figures 1–5). Correct localization of lymph nodes is critically important in tumour staging [1]. The identification of nodal stations together with an understanding of likely sites of disease dissemination



Figure 1. Diagram of the abdomen: gastro-oesophageal (black); hepatic artery (aqua); splenic (pink); gastro-omental (light purple); left gastric (blue); hepatoduodenal ligament (orange).

Address correspondence to: Janio Szklaruk, Department of Diagnostic Rodiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Centre, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard, Box 57, Houston, TX 77030. E-mail: jszklaruk@di.mdacc.tmc.edu



Figure 2. Diagram of the abdomen: left gastric (green); coeliac (yellow); diaphragmatic (red); paraoesophageal (blue); lesser curvature (aqua).



Figure 3. Diagram of the abdomen: coeliac (aqua); hepatic artery (light blue); left gastric (blue); gastroduodenal (pink); superior mesenteric (dark and light green).

becomes critical in the assessment of the probability that a detected lymph node is metastatic. The nomenclature of nodal stations is based primarily on the relationship of the lymphatic drainage (lymph nodes) that follows the accompanying vessel (artery and vein) or the direct relationship with the regional organ. The commonly accepted normal nodal size for the abdomen varies between 5 mm and 10 mm in shortest axis diameter [2–6]. A table of normal size lymph nodes for various nodal



Figure 4. Diagram of the abdomen: right colic (dark green); superior mesenteric (aqua); middle colic (light green); paracolic (red); left colic (pink); sigmoid (purple); inferior mesenteric (orange).



Figure 5. Diagram of the abdomen: interaortocaval (green); inguinal (blue); internal iliac (dark red); external iliac (red); pre-caval (yellow); common iliac (light blue); left para-aortic (pink).

stations in the body has been reported in the literature [7]. Various publications have reported a low accuracy for detecting malignant lymph nodes based on size parameters [8, 9]. Thus size criteria are a very controversial parameter as malignancy can be present in normal sized LNs [10] and, conversely, there may be enlarged LNs that may be not neoplastic [11]. Other than size criteria, close proximity to an anatomical tumour spread pathway and imaging features (*i.e.* PET/CT or in MRI with nanoparticles) may be helpful in suspecting that a lymph node is malignant rather than reactive [7, 12, 13].

Using colour-coded CT images; this pictorial review will present a method to learn the nomenclature of regional nodal stations by reviewing the nodal spread of common malignancies of the abdomen.

This pictorial review will present the staging and regional nodal spread for cancers of the stomach, pancreas, liver, colon and kidney. Our nomenclature is based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging of regional nodes [14].

This pictorial review with the aid of colour-coded CT images of the abdomen will also present the nomenclature and location of the nodal stations for common malignancies of the abdomen.

Gastric cancer

Gastric cancer is the third most common gastrointestinal malignancy and is the sixth leading cause of cancer death. The most common histological type is adenocarcinoma (95%). It is most commonly located in the lesser curvature (60%). Some of the related risk factors are smoking, nitrites, nitrates, pernicious anaemia, chronic atrophic gastritis and villous polyp. The 5 year survival rate for a curative

Table 1.	Nodal	staging	for	specific	types	of	cancer	(AJCC)
----------	-------	---------	-----	----------	-------	----	--------	-------	---

Gastric cancer NX Regional lymph node(s) cannot be assessed N0 No regional lymph node metastasis N1 Metastasis in 1 to 6 regional lymph nodes N2 Metastasis in 7 to 15 regional lymph nodes N3 Metastasis in more than 15 regional lymph nodes	Colorectal cancer NX Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed N1 Metastasis in 1 to 3 regional lymph nodes N2 Metastasis in 4 or more regional lymph nodes				
Pancreatic cancer NX Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed N0 No regional lymph node metastasis N1 Regional lymph node metastasis	Renal cell carcinoma NX Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed N1 Metastases in a single regional lymph node N2 Metastasis in more than one regional lymph node				
Hepatocellular carcinoma NX Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed N0 No regional lymph node metastasis N1 Regional lymph node metastasis					
Table 2. Regional lymph nodes for specific types of can	cer (AJCC)				
Gastric cancer Greater curvature of stomach Greater curvature, Figures 6, 8 Greater omental, Figure 13 Gastroduodenal, Figure 9 Gastroepiploie, Figures 9, 10	Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatoduodenal ligament, Figure 12 Caval lymph nodes, Figure 18 Hepatic artery, Figures 7, 11				
Pyloric, Figure 15 Pancreaticoduodenal lymph nodes, Figure 13	<i>Colorectal cancer</i> Pericolic/perirectal, Figures 9, 17, 18 Ileocolic, Figures 19, 20				
Pancreatic and splenic area Pancreaticolienal, Figure 13 Peripancreatic, Figures 10, 13 Splenic, Figure 13	Right colic, Figure 8 Middle colic, Figure 7 Left colic, Figure 17 Inferior mesenteric artery (IMA), Figures 19, 21 Superior rectal (haemorrhoidal), Figure 22				

Lesser curvature of stomach Lesser curvature, Figure 7 Lesser omental Left gastric, Figure 6 Cardio-oesophageal Common hepatic, Figure 12 Hepatoduodenal ligament, Figure 17

Pancreatic cancer Peripancreatic, Figures 10, 11, 13 Hepatic artery, Figures 7, 11 Coeliac axis, Figures 12, 13 Pyloric, Figure 15 Splenic region, Figure 13 Renal cell carcinoma Renal hilar, Figures 14, 16 Paracaval, Figure 18 Para-aortic, Figures 17, 18 Periaortic (lateral aortic), Figure 18 Retroperitoneal NOS, Figures 17, 18

surgical resection ranges from 30% to 50% (stage II) and from 10% to 25% for patients with stage III disease [14]. Adjuvant therapy has been considered.

The nodal staging for gastric cancer based on AJCC criteria is listed in Table 1. Table 2 lists the regional lymph nodes for gastric cancer and the corresponding CT colour-coded images of the abdomen demonstrating the anatomical location.

Pancreatic cancer

Pancreatic cancer is the second most common gastrointestinal malignancy and is the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death. The majority of cases are ductal adenocarcinomas (exocrine ductal epithelium, 95% of cases). Pancreatic cancer generally develops without early symptoms, except when it is close to the bile duct and causes biliary obstruction. Up to two-thirds may be located in the head of the pancreas. Approximately 30% of cases are related to smoking and 20% are associated with the type of

 Table 3. Additional lymph nodes for lymphoproliferative disorders

Retrocrural, Figure 23 Inferior diaphragmatic, Figure 23 Anterior diaphragmatic, Figure 24 Middle diaphragmatic, Figure 24 Gastro-oesophageal, Figure 24



Figure 6. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: left gastric (pink); greater curvature (blue); left inferior phrenic (green).



Figure 9. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: gastroduodenal (green); pericolic (pink); superior mesenteric (light blue); interaortocaval (yellow); right gastroepiploic (red).



Figure 7. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: lesser curvature (light blue); hepatic artery (blue); greater curvature (green); pericolic (pink); middle colic (red).



Figure 10. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: right gastroepiploic (light blue); periportal (green); superior mesenteric (yellow); inferior pancreatic (red).



Figure 8. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: greater curvature (blue); right gastric (red); right colic (yellow).



Figure 11. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: splenic (yellow); periportal (green); anterior pancreatic (red); hepatic (orange).



Figure 12. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: coeliac axis (green); hepatoduodenal (blue); common hepatic (red).



Figure 15. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: lateral aortic (light blue); right gastroepiploic (yellow); interaortocaval (blue); pyloric (green); superior mesenteric (pink).



Figure 13. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: coeliac axis (yellow); splenic (green); greater omental (light blue); anterior pancreaticoduodenal (pink); posterior pancreaticoduodenal (blue); pancreatic inferior (red).



Figure 16. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: renal hilar (green); retro-aortic (light blue); superior mesenteric (pink).



Figure 14. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: juxtaintestinal (green); renal hilar (light blue); superior mesenteric (yellow).



Figure 17. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: lateral aortic (yellow); retrocaval (green); interaortocaval (light blue); juxtaintestinal (red); pericolic (pink); left colic (dark blue).

The British Journal of Radiology, October 2007



Figure 18. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: lateral aortic (light blue); retrocaval (green); lateral caval (pink); pre-aortic (red); pre-caval (dark blue).

diet (high in fat). Risk is also increased with obesity, chronic pancreatitis, prior gastric surgery, diabetes, cirrhosis and exposure to radiation or chemicals [15, 16]. It is resectable only in approximately 10% of the cases at presentation. The resectability of a local tumour depends on the involvement of major vascular structures, mainly arterial structures (coeliac axes, superior mesenteric artery), and length of involvement or occlusion of major venous structures (superior mesenteric vein) [14]. The identification of nodal disease is difficult. The accuracy of both CT and MRI is limited [17, 18]. The survival without treatment is 5 months and with treatment (surgery \pm neoadjuvant chemoradiation with poor results) 8–14 months [16].

The nodal staging for pancreatic cancer based on AJCC criteria is listed in Table 1. Table 2 lists the regional lymph nodes for pancreatic cancer and the corresponding CT colour-coded images of the abdomen demonstrating the anatomical location.



Figure 20. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: juxtaintestinal (yellow); medial common iliac (light blue); lateral common iliac (green); ileocolic (pink); anterior cecal (dark blue); posterior cecal (red).

Hepatocellular carcinoma

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary visceral malignancy [14]. The age at diagnosis is usually between 60 and 70 years with a male predominance of 5:1. HCC originates from the hepatocytes and has fatty and fibrous elements. The main risk factor is cirrhosis in up to 90% of cases (alcohol, viral hepatitis B and C). The mean survival time is 10 months. However, with limited-stage disease and aggressive treatment survival of 5 years may be achieved.

The nodal staging for HCC based on AJCC criteria is listed in Table 1. Table 2 lists the regional lymph nodes for hepatocellular carcinoma and the corresponding CT colour-coded images of the abdomen demonstrating the anatomical location.

Colorectal cancer

Colorectal adenocarcinoma is the third most common cancer and the third most common cause of cancer



Figure 19. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: inferior mesenteric (green); juxtaintestinal (light blue); anterior ileocolic (red); posterior ileocolic (dark blue).



Figure 21. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: promontory (green); lateral common iliac (yellow); inferior mesenteric (blue).



Figure 22. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: internal iliac (hypogastric, green); external iliac (yellow); superior rectal (red).

deaths [14]. Over 90% of cases occur in people over the age of 50 years [16]. Most cases arise from adenomatous polyps. It is associated with a personal or family history of colorectal cancer, polyps or inflammatory bowel disease. Other risk factors are diet low in fibre/high in fat and animal protein, obesity, asbestos workers and socioeconomic status. The treatment for rectal cancer is surgical resection, usually preceded by radiation therapy and sometimes by chemotherapy. The prognosis is determined by stage. The 5 year survival for stage I colorectal cancer [14, 16].

The distribution of regional LN metastasis in colorectal carcinoma follows the vascular distribution of the vessels in the mesocolon. These vessels include the ileocolic vessels and right colic vessels for the ascending



Figure 23. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: retrocrural (red), inferior diaphragmatic (blue), middle colic (green).



Figure 24. CT image of the abdomen demonstrates the following nodal stations: anterior diaphragmatic (green), gastro-oesophageal (blue); middle diaphragmatic (red).

mesocolon, the middle colic vessels for the transverse mesocolon, and the inferior mesenteric vein for the sigmoid and descending mesocolon [19, 20]. Recognition of this anatomy is helpful in the identification of the spread of the disease and also in the identification of the pattern of recurrent disease after treatment.

The nodal staging for colorectal cancer based on AJCC criteria is listed in Table 1. Table 2 lists the regional lymph nodes for colorectal cancer and the corresponding CT colour-coded images of the abdomen demonstrating the anatomical location.

Renal cell carcinoma

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is a relatively rare neoplasm. It corresponds to 3% of all malignancies [14]. It is usually seen in the fifth to seventh decade of life. The most common type is adenocarcinoma (90%). The main risk factors are tobacco, VHL and haemodialysis. More than 50% of patients with RCC are cured in the early stages, but the outcome for stage IV disease is poor. The treatment is radical nephrectomy or chemotherapy.

The nodal staging for RCC based on AJCC criteria is listed in Table 1. Table 2 lists the regional lymph nodes for RCC and the corresponding CT colour-coded images of the abdomen demonstrating the anatomical location.

Lymphoproliferative diseases

Abnormal lymph nodes can be seen in the abdomen outside the described regional lymph nodes. Lymphoma and some other lymphoproliferative disorders can present as enlarged, abnormal morphology and/or low attenuation lymph nodes. Table 3 lists additional common nodal stations in the abdomen that were not included in Table 2 but are common sites of disease presentation in lymphoproliferative disorders.

Conclusion

This pictorial review can be used as a handbook to learn the nomenclature, location and regional lymph nodes for the most common abdominal neoplasms as detected with CT imaging.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Nicholas Lang for his assistance with the artwork and Nancy L Villarreal for helping in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- 1. Rouviere H. Anatomie des Lymphatiques del'homme. Paris: Masson, 1932:489.
- 2. Magnusson A. Size of normal retroperitoneal lymph nodes. Acta Radiol Diagn (Stockh) 1983;24:315–18.
- 3. Peters PE, Beyer K. [Normal lymph node cross sections in different anatomic regions and their significance for computed tomographic diagnosis]. Radiologe 1985;25:193–8.
- Dorfman RE, Alpern MB, Gross BH, Sandler MA. Upper abdominal lymph nodes: criteria for normal size determined with CT. Radiology 1991;180:319–22.
- Anzai Y, Piccoli CW, Outwater EK, Stanford W, Bluemke DA, Nurenberg P, et al. Evaluation of neck and body metastases to nodes with ferumoxtran 10-enhanced MR imaging: phase III safety and efficacy study. Radiology 2003;228:777–88.
- 6. Lucey BC, Stuhlfaut JW, Soto JA. Mesenteric lymph nodes: detection and significance on MDCT. Am J Roentgenol 2005;184:41–4.
- 7. Torabi M, Aquino SL, Harisinghani MG. Current concepts in lymph node imaging. J Nucl Med 2004;45:1509–18.
- 8. Prenzel KL, Monig SP, Sinning JM, Baldus SE, Brochhagen HG, Schneider PM, et al. Lymph node size and metastatic

infiltration in non-small cell lung cancer. Chest 2003;123: 463–7.

- 9. Tiguert R, Gheiler EL, Tefilli MV, Oskanian P, Banerjee M, Grignon DJ, et al. Lymph node size does not correlate with the presence of prostate cancer metastasis. Urology 1999;53:367–71.
- Harisinghani MG, Barentsz J, Hahn PF, Deserno WM, Tabatabaei S, van de Kaa CH, et al. Noninvasive detection of clinically occult lymph-node metastases in prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 2003;348:2491–9.
- Dodd GD 3rd, Baron RL, Oliver JH 3rd, Federle MP, Baumgartel PB. Enlarged abdominal lymph nodes in endstage cirrhosis: CT-histopathologic correlation in 507 patients. Radiology 1997;203:127–30.
- 12. Frija J, Bourrier P, Zagdanski AM, De Kerviler E. [Diagnosis of a malignant lymph node]. J Radiol 2005;86:113–25.
- Harisinghani MG, Saksena MA, Hahn PF, King B, Kim J, Torabi MT, et al. Ferumoxtran-10-enhanced MR lymphangiography: does contrast-enhanced imaging alone suffice for accurate lymph node characterization? Am J Roentgenol 2006;186:144–8.
- 14. Greene F, Page D, Fleming I, et al. AJCC cancer staging manual, 6th edn. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Cavallini G, Ammann RW, Lankisch PG, Andersen JR, et al. Pancreatitis and the risk of pancreatic cancer. International Pancreatitis Study Group. N Engl J Med 1993;328:1433–7.
- Cancer facts & figures 2004 (Annual Newsletter). In: American Cancer Society. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society, 2004.
- Diehl SJ, Lehmann KJ, Sadick M, Lachmann R, Georgi M. Pancreatic cancer: value of dual-phase helical CT in assessing resectability. Radiology 1998;206:373–8.
- Murakami K, Nawano S, Moriyama N, Sekiguchi R, Satake M, Iwata R, et al. [Staging of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma using dynamic MR imaging]. Nippon Igaku Hoshasen Gakkai Zasshi 1997;57:596–601.
- 19. Sonneland J, Anson BJ, Beaton LE. Surgical anatomy of the arterial supply to the colon from the superior mesenteric artery based upon a study of 600 specimens. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1958;106:385–98.
- 20. Griffiths JD. Surgical anatomy of the blood supply of the distal colon. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1956;19:241–56.